ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES WITHIN SUBSETS OF HAMEL AND SIERPIŃSKI-ZYGMUND FUNCTIONS

KRZYSZTOF PŁOTKA

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of an additive semigroup of cardinality 2^c contained in the intersection of the classes of Hamel functions (HF) and Sierpiński-Zygmund functions (SZ). In addition, we show that under certain set-theoretic assumptions the lineability of the class of Sierpiński-Zygmund functions (SZ) is equal to the lineability of the class of almost continuous Sierpiński-Zygmund functions (AC \cap SZ).

1. Introduction

The symbols \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{R} denote the sets of positive integers, rational and real numbers, respectively. The cardinality of a set X is denoted by the symbol |X|. In particular, $|\mathbb{N}|$ is denoted by ω and $|\mathbb{R}|$ is denoted by \mathfrak{c} . We consider only real-valued functions. No distinction is made between a function and its graph. For any two partial real functions f, g we write f+g, f-g for the sum and difference functions defined on $\mathrm{dom}(f)\cap\mathrm{dom}(g)$. We write f|A for the restriction of f to the set $A\subseteq\mathbb{R}$. For any subset Y of a vector space V over the field E, any $v\in V$, and any $e\in E$ we define $v+Y=\{v+y\colon y\in Y\}$ and $eY=\{ey\colon y\in Y\}$.

Recently, there have been lots of attention devoted to finding "large" structures (e.g., vector spaces, algebras) contained in various families of real functions (see [1,3–6,8–10,12,16,18]). In this article we also consider "less restrictive" structures like groups and even semigroups. In case of many classes of functions the problem is trivially solved by using already known results about vector spaces contained in those classes (as these vector spaces have maximal possible dimensions). However, in certain situations looking for the "largest" group or semigroup may be of interest.

We will recall here some of the most recent definitions related to the theory of lineability (see [3,5,6]). Let V be a vector space over the field E, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq V$, and κ be a cardinal number.

Date: Febrauary 23, 2015.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A03; Secondary 26A21, 03E75.

Key words and phrases. lineability, Hamel functions, Sierpiński-Zygmund functions.

We say that \mathcal{F} is star-like (with respect to E) if $e\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for all $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$. In addition, \mathcal{F} is defined to be κ -lineable (over E) if $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ contains a subspace of V of dimension κ . The (coefficient of) lineability of the subset \mathcal{F} over the field E is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_E(\mathcal{F})$ and defined as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_E(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{\kappa \colon \mathcal{F} \text{ is not } \kappa\text{-lineable over } E\}.$$

In the case $E = \mathbb{R}$ we simply write $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 1.1. Let V be a vector space over the field E_2 and E_1 be a subfield of E_2 . If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq V$ is star-like with respect to E_2 , then the following holds.

(1) $\mathcal{L}_{E_1}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \begin{cases} ((\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) - 1) \cdot \dim_{E_1}(E_2))^+ &, \text{ if } \mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) < \omega \\ \mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (\dim_{E_1}(E_2))^+ &, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(2) If E_1 is the smallest subfield of E_2 and \mathcal{G} is an additive group contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$, then $E_1\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{e \in E_1} e\mathcal{G}$ is a vector subspace of V over E_1 contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) Choose any $\kappa < \mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F})$ and let $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace over E_2 contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\dim_{E_2}(W) = \kappa$. Obviously, W is also a subspace when considered over E_1 and it can be verified that

$$\dim_{E_1}(W) = \kappa \cdot \dim_{E_1}(E_2).$$

Indeed, if $\{f_{\xi}: \xi < \kappa\}$ is a basis of W over E_2 , then $\{q_{\lambda}f_{\xi}: \xi < \kappa, \lambda < \dim_{E_1}(E_2)\}$ is a basis of W over E_1 , where $\{q_{\lambda}: \lambda < \dim_{E_1}(E_2)\}$ is a basis of E_2 over E_1 .

Now, if $\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) < \omega$ then the largest possible κ is $\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ and in this case $\dim_{E_1}(W) = (\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) - 1) \cdot \dim_{E_1}(E_2)$ and consequently $\mathcal{L}_{E_1}(\mathcal{F}) \geq ((\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) - 1) \cdot \dim_{E_1}(E_2))^+$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) \ge \omega$, then $\mathcal{L}_{E_1}(\mathcal{F}) \ge \max{\{\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}), (\dim_{E_1}(E_2))^+\}} = \mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (\dim_{E_1}(E_2))^+$.

(2) Since $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ and \mathcal{F} is star-like obviously $E_1\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$. Additionally, observe that

$$E_1 = \left\{ \pm \frac{e_n}{e_k} \colon k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } e_k \neq 0, \text{ where } e_i \text{ is the sum of } i \text{ 1's} \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, for all $g_1, g_2 \in \{\mathcal{G}\}$ and $q_1, q_2 \in E_1 \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$q_1g_1 + q_2g_2 = \pm \frac{e_{n_1}}{e_{k_1}}g_1 \pm \frac{e_{n_2}}{e_{k_2}}g_2 = \frac{1}{e_{k_1}e_{k_2}}(\pm e_{n_1}e_{k_2}g_1 \pm e_{n_2}e_{k_1}g_2) \in E_1\mathcal{G}.$$

Hence, $E_1\mathcal{G}$ is a vector subspace of V over E_1 contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$.

Observe that in general, the weak inequality in part (1) cannot be replaced by equality neither strict inequality. Indeed, if \mathcal{F} is a vector space over E_2 , then there is equality in part (1). On the other hand if we pick $V = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$, $E_1 = \mathbb{Q}$, $E_2 = \mathbb{R}$, B to be a basis of V over E_2 , and define $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) \cup \bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{R}} eB$ then we have $\mathcal{L}_{E_1}(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{E_2}(\mathcal{F}) = 2$. Hence in that case there is strict inequality > in part (1).

As a consequence of the above proposition, let us note here that if $E_1 = \mathbb{Q}$ and $E_2 = \mathbb{R}$ then for any star-like \mathcal{F} we have that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}) \geq (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}))^+ = \mathfrak{c}^+$. Additionally, every additive group contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ has cardinality less than $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F})$.

In this article we consider the following classes of functions. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is:

- an extendability function provided there exists a connectivity function $F: \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = F(x,0) for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ $(f \in \text{Ext})$;
- almost continuous (in sense of Stallings) if each open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 containing the graph of f contains also the graph of a continuous function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} $(f \in AC)$;
- Hamel function if the graph of f is a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R}^2 $(f \in HF)$;
- Sierpiński-Zygmund if for every set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality continuum \mathfrak{c} , f|Y is discontinuous $(f \in SZ)$.

Recall here that the class of all continuous functions is contained in Ext, Ext \subseteq AC, Ext \cap SZ = \emptyset , AC \cap SZ $\neq \emptyset$ under additional set-theoretical assumptions (e.g., CH, Martin's Axiom), Ext \cap HF $\neq \emptyset$, AC \cap HF $\neq \emptyset$, and HF \cap SZ $\neq \emptyset$ (see [17]). In addition, a function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is almost continuous if and only if it intersects every blocking set, i.e., a closed set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ which meets every continuous function and is disjoint with at least one function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . The domain of every blocking set contains a non-degenerate connected set. (See [11].) For $f \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ we say that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is f-negligible with respect to \mathcal{F} if for every function g such that $f|(\mathbb{R} \setminus A) \equiv g|(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)$ we have that $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

It is known that $\mathcal{L}(SZ) > \mathfrak{c}^+$ (see [9]) and that $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineability of SZ is undecidable in ZFC (see [10]). In [10] the authors also proved (Theorem 2.2) that for any $\mathfrak{c} < \kappa \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, $\mathcal{L}(SZ) > \kappa$ is equivalent to the existence of an additive group in $SZ \cup \{0\}$ of cardinality κ . This immediately implies the following property.

Remark 1.2. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}(SZ) = \mathcal{L}(SZ)$.

In the case of Hamel functions we have the following: $\mathcal{L}(HF) = 2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}(HF) = \mathfrak{c}^+$ (see [18]).

2. Semigroup in HF \cap SZ and lineability of AC \cap SZ

We will be using the following two lemmas to prove the existence of "large" semigroups in $HF \cap SZ$, $Ext \cap HF$, and $AC \cap HF \cap SZ$ (under the assumption of CH).

Lemma 2.1. [17, Lemma 7] Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Hamel basis and $v' \in V$. For each $v \in V$ fix $q_v \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $q_{v'} \neq -1$. Then the set $V' = \{v + q_v v' : v \in V\}$ is also a Hamel basis.

Lemma 2.2. There exists a function $h \in HF \cap Ext$ and a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} which is h-negligible with respect to Ext. Assuming CH, there exists a function $h \in AC \cap HF \cap SZ$ and a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} which is h-negligible with respect to AC.

Proof. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly independent \mathfrak{c} -dense F_{σ} set (see [14, Theorem 11.7.2]). Then there exists a function $f \in \operatorname{Ext}$ such that $\mathbb{R} \setminus F$ is f-negilgible (see [7]). Using [17, Fact 6] we obtain the existence of a function $h \in \operatorname{HF}$ such that $h|(\mathbb{R} \setminus F) \equiv f|(\mathbb{R} \setminus F)$. Obviously, $h \in \operatorname{Ext}$ and $X = \mathbb{R} \setminus F$ is h-negligible with respect to Ext.

In the proof of Theorem 2 in [17] (page 123) a function h is constructed which belongs to $AC \cap HF \cap SZ$ (under CH). One can easily see that this function h has a dense graph. It is known that for an almost continuous function f with a dense graph, every nowhere dense set is f-negligible with respect to AC (see [13]).

Theorem 2.3. Both HF \cap Ext and HF \cap SZ contain an additive semigroup of size 2°. In addition, assuming CH, the same holds for AC \cap HF \cap SZ.

Proof. We will prove the statement for the family $AC \cap HF \cap SZ$. By the previous lemma, under the assumption of CH there exists a function $h \in AC \cap HF \cap SZ$ and a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} which is h-negligible with respect to AC. Define $\mathcal{H} = \{qh + h(0)g \colon q \in \mathbb{Q}_+, g \in \mathbb{Q}_+(X)\}$ where \mathbb{Q}_+ is the set of positive rationals and $\mathbb{Q}_+(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R} \colon f | (\mathbb{R} \setminus X) \equiv 0 \text{ and } f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \text{ for } x \in X\}$. Since $h(0) \neq 0$ (for every $f \in HF$ $f(0) \neq 0$) we conclude that $|\mathcal{H}| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Next observe that \mathcal{H} is closed under addition as both \mathbb{Q}_+ and $\mathbb{Q}_+(X)$ are closed under addition.

Finally we will justify that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq AC \cap HF \cap SZ$. Obviously $\mathcal{H} \subseteq AC$ as AC is star-like and X is h-negligible with respect to AC. To see $\mathcal{H} \subseteq HF$ recall that HF is star-like and then use Lemma 2.1 with V = h, v' = (0, h(0)), and $q_v = \frac{g(x)}{q}$ for v = (x, h(x)), $q \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $g \in \mathbb{Q}_+(X)$ to conclude that $h+h(0)\frac{g}{q}$ is a Hamel function $(h+h(0)\frac{g}{q})$ is V' from Lemma 2.1). Consequently, $qh + h(0)g = q(h+h(0)\frac{g}{q}) \in HF$.

To see $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{SZ}$ recall that SZ is star-like and observe that h(0)g is a countably continuous function (e.g., union of countably many partial continuous functions) for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $g \in \mathbb{Q}_+(X)$. This implies $qh + h(0)g \in \operatorname{SZ}$.

The existence of semigroups of cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ in HF \cap Ext and HF \cap SZ can be justified in a very similar way (in the case of HF \cap SZ use $X = \mathbb{R}$).

Theorem 2.4. Assume CH. Then $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) > \mathfrak{c}^+$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\gamma} : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\} \subseteq (AC \cap SZ) \cup \{0\}$ be a vector space of dimension $\leq \mathfrak{c}$. We will show that there exists an $h \in AC \cap SZ \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that $h + \mathcal{F} \subseteq AC \cap SZ$. Since $AC \cap SZ$ is star-like the latter will imply that $\{ah \colon \in \mathbb{R}\} + \mathcal{F}$ is a vector space in $(AC \cap SZ) \cup \{0\}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \{ah \colon \in \mathbb{R}\} + \mathcal{F}$. Next using Zorn's lemma we will be able to conclude that $(AC \cap SZ) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space of dimension \mathfrak{c}^+ .

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be the set of all continuous functions defined on G_{δ} subsets of $\mathbb{R} = \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. For every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ define U_{α} to be the maximal open set such that $\operatorname{dom}(g_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} g_{\xi})$ is residual in U_{α} . We will construct by induction a sequence of partial functions h_{α} ($\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$) such that:

- (i) $h_{\xi} \subseteq h_{\alpha}$ for $\xi < \alpha$;
- (ii) $|\operatorname{dom}(h_{\alpha})| \leq \omega$ and $x_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{dom}(h_{\alpha})$;
- (iii) $(g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha})) \subseteq (f_{\gamma} + h_{\xi})$ for $\zeta, \gamma \leq \xi < \alpha$;
- (iv) $f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}$ is dense subset of $(g_{\zeta} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \zeta} g_{\xi}) | U_{\zeta}$ for $\zeta, \gamma \leq \alpha$.

We start the construction of the sequence h_{α} ($\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$) by defining $h_0(x_0)$ arbitrarily. Next choose a countable dense subset $D_0 \subseteq (\text{dom}(g_0) \cap U_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$ and put $(f_0 + h_0)|D_0 \equiv g_0|D_0$ (or equivalently $h_0|D_0 \equiv (g_0 - f_0)|D_0$). It is easy to see that h_0 satisfies all the conditions (i)-(iv).

Now fix $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and assume that the sequence h_{β} has been defined for all $\beta < \alpha$ satisfying the conditions (i)-(iv). Put $h_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} h_{\beta}$. If $x_{\alpha} \notin \text{dom}(h_{\alpha})$, then choose

$$h_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma,\beta < \alpha} \{g_{\beta}(x_{\alpha}) - f_{\gamma}(x_{\alpha})\}.$$

Next notice that since the conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied for all $\beta < \alpha$ to have that

$$f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}$$
 is dense in $(g_{\zeta} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \zeta} g_{\xi}) | U_{\zeta}$ for $\zeta, \gamma \leq \alpha$

it suffices to assure the above condition for $\zeta = \alpha$ or $\gamma = \alpha$. Choose a collection of pairwise disjoint countable sets $D_{\gamma,\xi}$ ($(\gamma < \alpha \text{ and } \xi = \alpha)$ or $(\gamma = \alpha \text{ and } \xi \leq \alpha)$) contained in

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\operatorname{dom}(h_{\alpha}) \cup \bigcup_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \leq \alpha, \gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2}} \operatorname{dom}((g_{\xi_{1}} - g_{\xi_{2}}) \cap (f_{\gamma_{1}} - f_{\gamma_{2}})) \right),$$

such that $D_{\gamma,\alpha}$ is dense subset of $\operatorname{dom}(g_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} g_{\beta}) \cap U_{\alpha}$ ($\gamma < \alpha$) and $D_{\alpha,\xi}$ is dense subset of $\operatorname{dom}(g_{\xi} \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \xi} g_{\beta}) \cap U_{\xi}$ ($\xi \leq \alpha$). Note here that the above choice is possible as $|\operatorname{dom}((g_{\xi_1} - g_{\xi_2}) \cap (f_{\gamma_1} - f_{\gamma_2}))| \leq \omega$ for $\xi_1, \xi_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq \alpha, \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ because $g_{\xi_1} - g_{\xi_2}$ is a continuous function, $f_{\gamma_1} - f_{\gamma_2} \in \operatorname{SZ}$, and we work under the assumption of CH. Now we define $f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma,\alpha} \equiv g_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma,\alpha}$ for $\gamma < \alpha$ and $f_{\alpha} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\alpha,\xi} \equiv g_{\xi}|D_{\alpha,\xi}$ for $\xi \leq \alpha$. This finishes the construction of h_{α} . It is clear that h_{α} satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iv).

To see that the condition (iii) is also satisfied let us pick $\xi < \alpha$ and $\zeta, \gamma \leq \xi$. By the inductive assumption we obtain that $(g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} h_{\beta})) \subseteq (f_{\gamma} + h_{\xi})$. Therefore to conclude that $(g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha})) \subseteq (f_{\gamma} + h_{\xi})$ we need to justify that $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|\{x_{\alpha}\}) = \emptyset$, $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}) = \emptyset$ $(\gamma_{1} < \alpha)$, and $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\alpha,\xi}) = \emptyset$ $(\xi \leq \alpha)$. The equality $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|\{x_{\alpha}\}) = \emptyset$ easily follows from the definition of $h_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})$. To see $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}) = \emptyset$ $(\gamma_{1} < \alpha)$ note that $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}) = g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} - f_{\gamma_{1}} + g_{\alpha})|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}$. If $\gamma = \gamma_{1}$, then $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}) = g_{\zeta} \cap g_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha} = \emptyset$ as $D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha} \subseteq \text{dom}(g_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} g_{\beta})$. If $\gamma \neq \gamma_{1}$, then $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha}) = (g_{\zeta} - g_{\alpha}) \cap (f_{\gamma} - f_{\gamma_{1}})|D_{\gamma_{1},\alpha} = \emptyset$ as

$$D_{\gamma_1,\alpha} \cap \bigcup_{\xi_1,\xi_2,\gamma_1,\gamma_2 \leq \alpha,\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2} \operatorname{dom}((g_{\xi_1} - g_{\xi_2}) \cap (f_{\gamma_1} - f_{\gamma_2})) = \emptyset.$$

Very similarly we can justify that $g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h_{\alpha}|D_{\alpha,\xi}) = \emptyset$ ($\xi \leq \alpha$). Hence the condition (iii) holds for h_{α} . This finishes the inductive definition of the sequence h_{α} ($\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$) satisfying the conditions (i)-(iv).

Define $h = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} h_{\alpha}$. Obviously $\operatorname{dom}(h) = \mathbb{R}$. The conditions (ii)-(iii) imply that $h + f_{\gamma} \in \operatorname{SZ}$ for all $\gamma < \mathfrak{c}$ as any partial continuous function can be extended to a continuous function on a G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{R} (see [15]) and $(g_{\zeta} \cap (f_{\gamma} + h)) \subseteq (f_{\gamma} + h_{\max(\zeta, \gamma)})$ for all $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$.

Next we will argue that $h + f_{\gamma}$ is almost continuous for every $\gamma < \mathfrak{c}$. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be any blocking set. There exists a non-empty open interval $I \subseteq \text{dom}(B)$ and a continuous function g such that dom(g) is G_{δ} dense subset of I and $g \subseteq B$. Let ζ_0 be the smallest ordinal number with the property that $g_{\zeta_0}|I \subseteq B$ and $\text{dom}(g_{\zeta_0}) \cap I$ is residual in I for some non-empty open interval $I \subseteq \text{dom}(B)$. Then $\text{dom}(g_{\zeta_0} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \zeta_0} g_{\xi})$ is also residual in I (since we assume CH). Therefore, $I \subseteq U_{\zeta_0}$ and since $f_{\gamma} + h$ is dense subset of $(g_{\zeta_0} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \zeta_0} g_{\xi})|U_{\zeta_0}$ (condition (iv) for $\alpha = \max(\gamma, \zeta_0)$) we obtain that

$$\emptyset \neq (h + f_{\gamma}) \cap (g_{\zeta_0} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \zeta_0} g_{\xi}) | I \subseteq (h + f_{\gamma}) \cap g_{\zeta_0} | I \subseteq (h + f_{\gamma}) \cap B.$$

This implies that $h + f_{\gamma} \in AC$.

Let us mention here that assuming GHC the above theorem implies that $AC \cap SZ$ is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineable and consequently $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) = \mathcal{L}(SZ)$. On the other hand, there is a model of ZFC (see [2]) in which $AC \cap SZ = \emptyset$. These two observations imply the following.

Corollary 2.5.

- (1) It is consistent with ZFC that $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) = \mathcal{L}(SZ)$.
- (2) It is consistent with ZFC that $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) < \mathcal{L}(SZ)$.

It would be interesting to know if it is possible to have $AC \cap SZ \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) < \mathcal{L}(SZ)$. We state that as an open problem.

Problem 2.6. Is it consistent with ZFC that $AC \cap SZ \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{L}(AC \cap SZ) < \mathcal{L}(SZ)$?

References

- R.M. Aron, V.I. Gurariy, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on ℝ, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133(3) (2005), 795–803.
- [2] M. Balcerzak, K. Ciesielski, T. Natkaniec, Sierpiński-Zygmund functions that are Darboux, almost continuous, or have a perfect road, Arch. Math. Logic 37 (1997), 29–35.
- [3] A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, Additivity and lineability in vector spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 439(7) (2013), 2123–2130.
- [4] L. Bernal-González, M. Ordóñez Cabrera, Lineability criteria, with applications, J. Funct. Anal. 266(6) (2014), 3997–4025.
- [5] L. Bernal-González, D. Pellegrino, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Linear subsets of nonlinear sets in topological vector spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 51(1) (2014), 71–130.

- [6] K.C. Ciesielski, J.L. Gámez-Merino, D. Pellegrino, and J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Lineability, spaceability, and additivity cardinals for Darboux-like functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 440 (2014), 307-317
- [7] K. Ciesielski, J. Jastrzębski, Darboux-like functions within the classes of Baire one, Baire two, and additive functions, Topology Appl. (103) (2000), 203-219.
- [8] J.L. Gámez-Merino, Large algebraic structures inside the set of surjective functions, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 18(2) (2011), 297-300.
- [9] J.L. Gámez-Merino, G.A. Muñoz-Fernández, V.M. Sanchez, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Sierpiński-Zygmund functions and other problems on lineability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (11) (2010), 3863–3876.
- [10] J. L. Gámez-Merino, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, An undecidable case of lineability in ℝ^R, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401(2) (2013), 959–962.
- [11] R.G. Gibson, T. Natkaniec, Darboux-like functions, Real Anal. Exchange 22(2) (1996-97), 492-533.
- [12] V.I. Gurariy, L.Quarta, On lineability of sets of continuous functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 294 (2004), 62-72.
- [13] K.R. Kellum, Almost continuity and connectivity sometimes it's as easy to prove stronger results, Real Anal. Exchange (8) (1982-1983), 244-252.
- [14] M. Kuczma, An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities, Birkhäuser Verlag AG, 2009.
- [15] K. Kuratowski, Topologie I, Warszawa, 1958.
- [16] T. Natkaniec, Algebrability of some families of Darboux-like functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013), 3256–3263.
- [17] K. Płotka, Darboux-like functions within the class of Hamel functions, Real Anal. Exchange 34(1) (2009), 115–126.
- [18] K. Płotka, On lineability and additivity of functions with finite preimages, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 421(2) (2015), 1396–1404.

Department of Mathematics, University of Scranton, Scranton, PA 18510, USA $E\text{-}mail\ address$: Krzysztof.Plotka@scranton.edu